Single-particle degrees of freedom in covariant density functional theory

Anatoli Afanasjev

Mississippi State University, USA

- 1. Motivation: understand the possibilities and problems in achieving the spectroscopic quality covariant DFT
- 2. Deformed single(quasi)-particle states: successes and problems
- 3. Single-particle states in spherical nuclei: going beyond mean field by means of particle-vibration coupling.
- 4. Conclusions

In collaboration with Elena Litvinova, GSI and Sheeren Shawaqfeh (MSU)

Deformed single(quasi)-particle states: successes and problems

Different aspects:

- 1. response to rotation
- 2. polarization effects
- 3. single-(quasi)-particle energies



Relative (effective) alignments of two rotational bands

$$i_{\text{eff}}^{\text{B,A}}(\Omega) = I_{\text{B}}(\Omega) - I_{\text{A}}(\Omega)$$

depends both on the alignment properties of single-particle orbital(s) by which the two bands differ and on the polarization effects induced by the particles in these orbitals

Impact of the particle(s) on kinematic and dynamic moments of inertia is well reproduced

AA, G.A. Lalazissis, P. Ring, Nucl. Phys. A 634 (1998) 395.

AA and P.Ring, Phys. Scripta, T88, 10 (2000)

²⁴¹Am: the dependence of the rotational properties on the single-particle state.



Impact of particle(s) on charge quadrupole moments: example of SD bands in the A~150 mass region

Experimental and calculated relative charge quadrupole moments $\Delta Q_0 = Q_0(Band) - Q_0(^{152}Dy(1))$ of the $^{149}Gd(1)$, $^{151}Tb(1)$ and $^{151}Dy(1)$.

Band	Configuration	ΔQ_0^{\exp} (eb)	$\Delta Q_0^{ m th}$ (eb)
¹⁴⁹ Gd(1)	$v[770]\frac{1}{2}(r = -i)^{-1}(\pi[651]\frac{3}{2})^{-2}$	-2.5(0.3)	-2.41
¹⁵¹ Tb(1)	$\pi[651]\frac{3}{2}(r = +i)^{-1}$	-0.7(0.7)	-1.01
¹⁵¹ Dy(1)	$v[770]\frac{1}{2}(r = -i)^{-1}$	-0.6(0.4)	-0.53

The detailed structure of the configurations of these bands relative to the doubly magic ¹⁵²Dy core is given in column 2.

AA, G.A. Lalazissis, P. Ring, Nucl. Phys. A 634 (1998) 395.

This impact is rather well reproduced in non-relativistic and relativistic DFT Satula et al, PRL 88, 5182 (1996) M.Matev et al, PRC 76, 034304 (2007) R.W. Laird et al, PRL 88, 152501(2002)

On the contrary, the ΔQ_0 quantity is not uniquely defined in phenomenological models based on Woods-Saxon potentials

Systematics of one-quasiparticle states in actinides: the CRHB study

Triaxial CRHB; fully self-consistent blocking, time-odd mean fields included

- 1. Low effective mass (stretching of the energy scale)
- 2. Wrong relative energies of the states

- 1. 75% of the states are described with an accuracy of phenomenological (Nilsson, Woods-Saxon) models
- 2. The remaining differences are due to incorrect relative energies of the single-particle states

Single-particle states in spherical nuclei: going beyond mean field by means of particle-vibration coupling. Can we obtain "bare" single-particle energies that can be used for comparison with plain DFT?

Can we obtain "bare" single-particle energies that can be used for comparison with plain DFT?

[9] Relativistic PVC, E.Litvinova and P.Ring, PRC C73, 044328 [2006] [10] Skyrme PVC model, Colo et al, AIP conf. proc. vol. 1165, p. 267 Can we obtain "bare" single-particle energies that can be used for comparison with plain DFT?

it is clear that "bare" single-particle energies cannot be defined with controllable precision in spherical nuclei under study

Two ways to compare with the experimental data

"business as usual"

With mean field calculations

realistic With relativistic particle-vibration coupling calculations

Such an approach allows to see whether

- (i) the inclusion of particle-vibration coupling improves the description of specific physical observables and
- (ii) the conclusions reached earlier on the mean field level are valid or not.

3. energy corrections due to particle-vibration coupling (PVC)

Hybrid approach

Treat

 the polarization effects due to deformation and time-odd mean fields in the triaxial relativistic mean field approach (AA, H.Abusara, PRC 81, 014309 (2010)

 energy corrections due to PVC in the relativistic particle-vibration coupling model for spherical nuclei (according to E.Litvinova and P.Ring, PRC C73, 044328 [2006])

In both models,

- NL3* parametrization is used [G.Lalazissis, et al PLB 671, 36 (2009)]

- pairing is neglected

Cut-off of phonon basis in the RRPA calculations

Phonons of the multipolarities 2⁺, 3⁻, 4⁺, 5⁻, 6⁺ with energies below 15 MeV are included in the model space of the PVC calculations. The addition of phonon modes with energies above 15 MeV does not affect the results. The phonon energies and their coupling vertices have been computed within the self-consistent RRPA.

Detailed calculations are also performed for ^{100,132}Sn and ⁵⁶Ni

Combined polarization effects due to deformation and time-odd mean fields

The polarization effects in odd-mass nuclei due to deformation and time-odd mean fields induced by odd particle are important. They have to be taken into account when experimental and calculated single-particle energies are compared.

Their neglect (as usually done in PVC calculations) is more or less justified only for heavy nuclei, and it is more justified for proton subsystem than for neutron one.

The deviations of calculated energies of the single-particle states from experimental ones

The results for proton and neutron states are given by solid and open circles.

TABLE I: Average deviations per state $\Delta \epsilon$ between calculated and experimental energies of the single-particle states for a proton (neutron) subsystem of a given nucleus.

$$\Delta \epsilon = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\epsilon_i^{th} - \epsilon_i^{exp}|}{N}$$

Nucleus/s	ubsystem	$\Delta \epsilon_{def+TO}$ [MeV]	$\Delta \epsilon_{def+TO+PVC}$ [MeV]
⁵⁶ Ni/p	roton	0.76	0.77
⁵⁶ Ni/ne	eutron	0.89	0.71
$^{132}Sn/p$	oroton	1.02	0.68
132 Sn/n	eutron	0.89	0.39
208 Pb/I	oroton	1.53	0.84
208 Pb/n	eutron	1.00	0.47

The impact of particle-vibration coupling on spin-orbit splittings.

The danger of the fit of the model parameters on the DFT level to the single-particle energies

M. Zalewski et al, PRC 80, 064307 (2009) and PRC 77, 024316 (2008). Skyrme DFT

A direct fit of the isoscalar spin-orbit (SO) and both isoscalar and isovector tensor coupling constants to **the** *f5/2-f7/2 SO* **splittings** in 40Ca, **56Ni**, and 48Ca nuclei requires a drastic reduction of the isoscalar SO strength and strong attractive tensor coupling constants.

The PVC changes SO splitting in the f5/2-f7/2 doublet by 1.5 MeV

The impact of particle-vibration coupling on spin-orbit splittings: the comparison with Skyrme PVC for neutron subsystem of ²⁰⁸Pb

The change of spin-orbit splitting induced by PVC

doublet	Covariant PVC	Skyrme PVC
3d _{5/2} -3d _{3/2}	+0.14	+0.15
3p _{3/2} -3p _{1/2}	-0.16	-0.15
2g _{9/2} -2g _{7/2}	+0.32	-0.1
2f _{7/2} -2f _{5/2}	-1.0	-0.6
2i _{13/2} -1i _{11/2}	-0.75	-0.6

Skyrme PVC G.Colo et al, PRC 82, 064307 (2010)

The impact of particle-vibration coupling on pseudospin doublets.

Exp (neutrons)def+TOdef+TO+PVC

Exp (protons)

PVC substantially improves the description of splitting energies in pseudospin doublets as compared with mean field calculations. Observed similarity of the splitting energies of proton and neutron pseudospin doublets with the same single-particle structure in medium and heavy mass nuclei can only be reproduced when the particle-vibration coupling is taken into account.

Conclusions:

1. Rotational response (alignment properties) of particle(s) and polarization effects (in time-even (deformation) and time-odd mean fields) induced by them are well reproduced in CDFT.

2. The accuracy of the description of the energies of deformed one-quasiparticle states is insufficient (for a number of phenomena) due to low effective mass and wrong relative energies of some subshells at spherical shape.

- 3. Particle-vibration coupling (with polarizations accounted)
 - substantially improves the description of the single-particle states in ¹³²Sn and ²⁰⁸Pb.
 - decreases the accuracy of the description of spin-orbit splittings
 - substantially improves the description of splitting energies in pseudospin doublets

4. The improvement of spectroscopic properties (single-particle energies) on the DFT level has its own limits.

Ignoring particle-vibration coupling and treating experimental levels as pure single-particle in the fit can lead to wrong conclusions about the energy density functional.

	Nucleus	State	S_{th}	S_{exp}	S_{exp}
	^{209}Pb	$2g_{9/2}$	0.85	0.78 ± 0.1 [76]	0.94 [80]
	•	$1i_{11/2}$	0.89	0.96 ± 0.2 [76]	1.05[80]
Spectroscopic factors		$1j_{15/2}$	0.66	0.53 ± 0.2 [76]	0.57 [80]
Specifoscopic factors		$3d_{5/2}$	0.89	0.88 ± 0.1 [76]	
	1	$4s_{1/2}$	0.92	0.88 ± 0.1 [76]	
The absolute values of		$2g_{7/2}$	0.87	0.78 ± 0.1 [76]	
experimental spectroscopic		$3d_{3/2}$	0.89	0.88 ± 0.1 [76]	
	200-				
factors are characterized by	²⁰⁹ Bi	$1h_{9/2}$	0.88	1.17 [75]	0.80 [69]
large ambiguities and		$2t_{7/2}$	0.78	0.78 [75]	0.76 [69]
danand		$1_{13/2}$	0.63	0.56 [75]	0.74 [69]
depend		$2t_{5/2}$	0.61	0.88 [75]	0.57 [69]
strongly on the reaction		$3p_{3/2}$	0.62	0.67 [75]	0.44 [69]
employed in experiment		$3p_{1/2}$	0.37	0.49[75]	0.20 [69]
	207 DL	2.5	0.00		1 00 [00]
and the reaction model	PD	$p_{1/2}$	0.90	1 19 [70]	1.00 [03]
used in the analysis		$\frac{215/2}{20}$	0.87	1.13 [78]	1.05 [63]
5		$_{11}^{3p_{3/2}}$	0.80	1.00 [78]	0.95 [65]
		$\frac{11_{13/2}}{2f_{\pi}}$	0.64	0.80 [78]	0.64 [83]
		$\frac{217/2}{1h_{0/2}}$	0.38	0.09 [10]	0.04 [00]
		1119/2	0.00		
	207Tl	381/2	0.84	0.95 [77]	0.85 [68]
		$2d_{2/2}$	0.86	1.15 [77]	0.90 [68]
		$1h_{11/2}$	0.80	0.89 77	0.88 [68]
		$2d_{5/2}$	0.68	0.62 [77]	0.63 68
		$1g_{7/2}$	0.22	0.40 77	0.27 [68]

Spectroscopic factors

Nucleus	State	S_{th}	S_{exp} [79]	S_{exp} [59]
⁵⁷ Ni	$\begin{array}{c} 2p_{3/2} \\ 1f_{5/2} \\ 2p_{1/2} \\ 1g_{9/2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.83 \\ 0.79 \\ 0.76 \\ 0.79 \end{array}$	0.95 ± 0.29 1.40 ± 0.42 1.00 ± 0.30	$0.58 {\pm} 0.11$
	Nucleus	State	S_{th}	S_{exp}
	¹³³ Sn	$2f_{7/2} \\ 3p_{3/2} \\ 1h_{9/2} \\ 3p_{1/2} \\ 2f_{5/2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.89 \\ 0.91 \\ 0.88 \\ 0.91 \\ 0.89 \end{array}$	0.86 ± 0.16 0.92 ± 0.18 1.1 ± 0.3 1.1 ± 0.2

Hybrid approach

Treat

 the polarization effects due to deformation and time-odd mean fields in the triaxial relativistic mean field approach
 (A A H Abusara PRC 81, 014309 (2010)

(AA, H.Abusara, PRC 81, 014309 (2010)

- energy corrections due to PVC in the relativistic particle-vibration coupling model for spherical nuclei (according to E.Litvinova and P.Ring, PRC C73, 044328 [2006])
- 1. The Dirac equation for fermions

$$\hat{h}_D = \boldsymbol{\alpha}(-i\boldsymbol{\nabla} - \boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{r})) + V_0(\boldsymbol{r}) + \beta(m + S(\boldsymbol{r}))$$

Magnetic potential

$$\boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{r}) = g_{\omega}\boldsymbol{\omega}(\boldsymbol{r}) + g_{\rho}\tau_{3}\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{r}) + e\frac{1-\tau_{3}}{2}\boldsymbol{A}(\mathbf{r}) \Rightarrow \text{Time-odd mean fields}$$

Klein-Gordon equations for mesons

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta + m_{\sigma}^2 \} \ \sigma(\boldsymbol{r}) = -g_{\sigma}[\rho_s^n(\boldsymbol{r}) + \rho_s^p(\boldsymbol{r})] \\ -g_2 \sigma^2(\boldsymbol{r}) - g_3 \sigma^3(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ \left\{ -\Delta + m_{\omega}^2 \right\} \omega_0(\boldsymbol{r}) = g_{\omega}[\rho_v^n(\boldsymbol{r}) + \rho_v^p(\boldsymbol{r})], \\ \left\{ -\Delta + m_{\omega}^2 \right\} \ \boldsymbol{\omega}(\boldsymbol{r}) = g_{\omega}[\boldsymbol{j}^n(\boldsymbol{r}) + \boldsymbol{j}^p(\boldsymbol{r})] \end{cases}$$

2. Relativistic particle-vibration coupling (PVC) model

The equation of the one-nucleon motion has the form (in single-particle Green functions)

$$\left(\varepsilon - h^{D} - \beta \Sigma_{s}^{e}(\varepsilon) - \Sigma_{0}^{e}(\varepsilon)\right) G(\varepsilon) = 1$$

$$\sum_{l} \left\{ (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{k}) \delta_{kl} - \Sigma_{kl}^{e}(\varepsilon) \right\} G_{lk'}(\varepsilon) = \delta_{kk'}$$

particle-phonon coupling model:

energy-dependent part of the mass operator is a convolution of the particlephonon coupling amplitude Γ and the exact single-particle Green's function

$$\Sigma_{kl}^{e}(\varepsilon) = \sum_{k'l'} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \Gamma_{kl'lk'}(\omega) G_{k'l'}(\varepsilon + \omega)$$

depends on phonon vertexes $\gamma_{kl}^{\mu} = \sum_{k'l'} V_{kl'lk'} \delta \rho_{k'l'}^{\mu}$

 $V_{kl'lk'}$ - the relativistic matrix element of the residual interaction and $\delta \rho$ is the transition density. We use the linearized version of the model which assumes that $\delta \rho$ is not influenced by the particle-phonon coupling and can be computed within relativistic RPA.

The impact of particle-vibration coupling on spin-orbit splittings.

Conclusions:

1. Rotational response (alignment properties) of particle(s) and polarization effects (in time-even (deformation) and time-odd mean fields) induced by them are well reproduced in CDFT.

2. The accuracy of the description of the energies of one-quasiparticle states is insufficient due to low effective mass and wrong relative energies of some subshells at spherical shape.

For example, this will affect the landscapes of flat potential energies surfaces (PES). This can lead to "virtual" results especially in the case of chiral rotation which involves 2-quasiparticle states (the deviation of their energies from experiment can be twice of the ones for 1-qp states) and flat PES characterized by only small barrier (~ 50 keV) between two chiral minima.

- 3. Particle-vibration coupling (with polarizations accounted)
 - substantially improves the description of the single-particle states in ¹³²Sn and ²⁰⁸Pb.
 - decreases the accuracy of the description of spin-orbit splittings
 - substantially improves the description of splitting energies in pseudospin doublets