June, 2011 @ Primošten

Microscopic formulation of IBM for rotational nuclei

Kosuke NOMURA (U. Tokyo)



Introduction

Quadrupole Collectivity: vibrational, rotational & transitional shapes governed by multi-fermion dynamics

Fig. from Casten, "Nuclear Structure from a simple perspective"

- Derived from nucleons ?
- Prediction ?

This talk will focus mainly on rotational limit.



Mean-field theory with energy density functional (EDF)

Skyrme, Gogny, RMF, etc. for nuclear properties. Universal.
 Spectroscopy with good J & N, fluctuation, though complicated.

- Pedagogical: Ring & Schuck (1985); Review: Bender et al. (2003)
- Skyrme: Bender & Heenen (2008)
- Gogny: T.R.Rodríguez & Egido (2010)
- RMF: Nikšić et al. (2007)
- Many others ...

Alternatives of symmetry restoration & config. mixing

Solution of 5D collective Hamiltonian

• Gogny: Delaroche et al. (2010); RMF: Li et al. (2010)

Mapping from nucleons to interacting bosons

• ex) interacting boson model of atomic nuclei (1974)

The interacting boson model (IBM) and the microscopic basis

Collective pairs of valence nucleons

Microscopic basis by shell model for moderate deformation

A. Arima & F. Iachello (1974)
T. Otsuka, A. Arima & F. Iachello (1978)
T. Otsuka in "Algebraic approaches to Nuclear Structure" ed. by R. F. Casten (1993)
T. Mizusaki and T. Otsuka (1997)

Strong deformation ???

A new formulation

• K.N., N. Shimizu & T. Otsuka (2008)



Outline



Basics and underlying physics

Boson mapping for a rotor

(Relevant topics)

Summary

Analysis of energy surface with quadrupole degrees of freedom can be a good starting point for spectroscopy (e.g., in GCM, 5D collective Hamiltonian).

Also, IBM Hamiltonian could be constructed by energysurface mapping.

Spectra with good J & N, quantum fluctuation...???

Energy surfaces for quadrupole deformation



total energy from constrained Hartree-Fock (with pairing) **b** total energy for a boson condensation

This process gives IBM parameters. Diagonalize boson Hamiltonian → Spectra with good J & N

IBM-2 Hamiltonian and coherent state formalism



$$|\Phi\rangle = \prod_{\rho=\pi,\nu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\rho}!}} (\lambda_{\rho}^{\dagger})^{N_{\rho}} |0\rangle \qquad \lambda_{\rho}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\beta_{\rho}^{2}}} \left[s_{\rho}^{\dagger} + d_{\rho 0}^{\dagger} \beta_{\rho} \cos \gamma_{\rho} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (d_{\rho+2}^{\dagger} + d_{\rho-2}^{\dagger}) \beta_{\rho} \sin \gamma_{\rho} \right]$$

Energy surface

 $E(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{B},\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{B}) = \langle \Phi | \hat{H}_{B} | \Phi \rangle \qquad \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\pi} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\nu} \equiv \boldsymbol{\beta}_{B} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\pi} = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\nu} \equiv \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{B} \end{cases}$

Some formulas for IBM energy surface

Boson parameters are calculated by adjusting IBM to nucleon energy surfaces around the minimum, using Wavelet.

$$E(\beta_B, \gamma_B) = \frac{\epsilon (N_\pi + N_\nu) \beta_B^2}{1 + \beta_B^2} + N_\pi N_\nu \kappa \frac{\beta_B^2}{(1 + \beta_B^2)^2} \times \left[4 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{7}} (\chi_\pi + \chi_\nu) \beta_B \cos 3\gamma_B + \frac{2}{7} \chi_\pi \chi_\nu \beta_B^2 \right]$$

Relationship between IBM and geometrical (β , γ): $\beta_B = C_\beta \beta$, $\gamma_B = \gamma$

Spherical-to-deformed shape transition

microscopically 146Sm (SkM*) 146Sm (IBM) Sm (from SkM*) 148Sm (IBM) E (MeV) 148Sm (SkM*) **U(5)** More neutron number 3 0.5 150Sm(IBM) 150Sm (SkM*) K (MeV) [MeV] -0.2 2.0 152Sm 152Sm (SkM*) (IBM) 1.5 χ_{π} 154Sm (SkM*) 154Sm 1.0 Хπ,ν (IBM) **SU(3)** -0.8156Sm (IBM) 0.5 156Sm (SkM*) γ_{F} γ_{F} 0.0 CB S B C 5 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.0 0.1 0.4 $\beta_{\rm F} (= \beta_{\rm B} / C_{\rm B})$ β_{F} 0 84 88 92 96

Total energies in βγ plane

IBM parameters determined

Neutron Number

K.N. et al., PRC81, 044307 (2010)

Excitation spectra (IBM from Skyrme SkM*)

Rotational spectra are overestimated. Origin ?



Outline



- **Masics and underlying physics**
- **Boson mapping for a rotor**
- **(Relevant topics)**
- **Summary**

Boson mapping for a rotational nucleus

Bohr & Mottelson (1980):

"SD truncation is far from sufficient to describe the intrinsic state of rotational nucleus"

• A. Bohr & B. R. Mottelson, Phys. Scr. 22, 468 (1980)

Debates over the validity of SD-pair truncation:

Renormalization of J=4 (G) pair, sdg-IBM ..., still not conclusive.

- T. Otsuka, A. Arima & N. Yoshinaga (1982)
- M. R. Zirnbauer (1984)
- N. Yoshinaga, A. Arima & T. Otsuka (1984)
- T. Otsuka & J. N. Ginocchio (1985)
- T. Otsuka & M. Sugita (1988)

In the energy-surface analysis, both vibrational and rotational kinetic energies are incorporated into boson system.

This is basically true for modest deformation...

For strong deformation, bosonic intrinsic wave function differs notably from nucleonic one.



Mapping the rotational "response"

$$\begin{split} \delta \langle \phi_F | H_F | \phi'_F \rangle &\mapsto \delta \langle \phi_B | H_B | \phi'_B \rangle \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \downarrow \\ \text{intr. state Rotated intr. state} & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \hat{H}_B &= \epsilon (\hat{n}_{d\pi} + \hat{n}_{d\nu}) + \kappa \hat{Q}_{\pi} \cdot \hat{Q}_{\nu} + \alpha \hat{L} \cdot \hat{L} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{This part does not change.} & \text{Rot. kinetic term} \end{split}$$

Basic idea:

- Response to the infinitesimal rotation should be reproduced.
- Intrinsic state and deformation (shape) can be the same.
- Do not include additional bosons.

• K.N., T. Otsuka, N. Shimizu & L. Guo, PRC83, 041302(R) (2011)

Cranking moment of inertia

Large difference between fermion and boson systems



Some examples of the rotational bands



w/o: no LL, w/: with LL

Evolution of yrast spectra in Sm and Gd



Outline



- **Masics and underlying physics**
- **O** Boson mapping for a rotor
- **(Relevant topics)**
- **Summary**

Comparison with 5D collective Hamiltonian

RMF and mapped **IBM** energy surfaces with DD-PC1 EDF



Triaxiality is reproduced by three-body term of boson Hamiltonian

K.N., T. Nikšić, T. Otsuka, N. Shimizu & D. Vretenar, PRC in press [arXiv:1104.4667]
K.N., T. Nikšić, T. Otsuka, N. Shimizu & D. Vretenar, in preparation

How does IBM mimic collective spectra?

Coll. Hamiltonian and IBM, from DD-PC1 EDF; no scaling



K.N., T. Nikšić, T. Otsuka, N. Shimizu & D. Vretenar, PRC in press [arXiv:1104.4667]
K.N., T. Nikšić, T. Otsuka, N. Shimizu & D. Vretenar, in preparation

Summary

Bridge over the gap between IBM and DFT

- Spectroscopy with good J & N, quantum fluctuation
 IBM is valid for a rotor

Comparison with full config. mixing calc.
 Specific isovector excitation (mixed symmetry state)

Collaborators:

T. Otsuka (U. Tokyo) N. Shimizu (U. Tokyo)



L. Guo (RIKEN)



D. Vretenar (U. Zagreb) T. Nikšić (U. Zagreb)



Thank you / Hvala.

Backup slides

Fit by Wavelet Transform

allows unique choice of the IBM parameters



K.N., Shimizu & Otsuka, PRC81, 044307 (2010)

WT of energy surface for axial sym.





Systematics of correlation energy

Maximal in the transitional regions, e.g., Sm and Pt isotopes



δV_{pn} : Empirical average p-n interaction

Double difference of BE(Z,N):

$$\begin{split} \delta V_{\rm pn} &= \frac{1}{4} [\{BE(Z,N) - BE(Z,N-2)\} \\ &- \{BE(Z-2,N) - BE(Z-2,N-2)\}] \end{split}$$

Collectivity, deformation, shell structure,

Larger δV_{pn} value for p-p and h-h than p-h and h-p configs. This trend is predicted also for right-lower quadrant of ²⁰⁸Pb.

Refs:

- Federman & Pittel (1978)
- J.-Y. Zhang et al. (1989)
- Cakirli et al. (2005) ; Cakirli & Casten (2006)

